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ABSTRACT

In this article, we will describe the different steps in the construction of EPEC (Reference Corpus for the Processing of Basque). EPEC is a corpus of standard written Basque that has been manually tagged at different levels (morphology, surface syntax, phrases) and is currently being hand tagged at deep syntax level following the Dependency Structure-based Scheme. It is aimed to be a “reference” corpus for the development and improvement of several NLP tools for Basque. This corpus has already been used for the construction of some tools such as a morphological analyser, a lemmatiser, or a shallow syntactic analyser.

1. Introduction

When specifying the strategic priorities for the development of language technology in minority languages, Sarasola (2000) stated:

Language foundations and research are essential to create any tool or application; but in the same way tools and applications will be very helpful in research and improving language foundations. Therefore, these three levels [applications, tools, and language foundations] have to be incrementally developed in a parallel and coordinated way in order to get the best benefit possible.

Moreover, Sarasola (2000) proposes five phases as a general strategy to follow in the processing of a language: (1) laying foundations, (2) basic tools, (3) tools of medium complexity, (4) advanced tools and multilinguality, and (5) general
applications. In all the phases proposed, corpora, first raw and then tagged, outstand as an essential language resource.

In this article, we will describe the different steps in the construction of EPEC (Reference Corpus for the Processing of Basque). EPEC is a corpus of standard written Basque that has been manually tagged at different levels (morphology, surface syntax, phrases) and is currently being hand tagged at deep syntax level. It is aimed to be a “reference” corpus for the development and improvement of several NLP tools for Basque.

In section 2, we explain how the raw corpus was compiled and we briefly describe the design of the tagset. In section 3, we account for the morphological disambiguation process carried out manually over the outcome of MORFEUS (the morphological analyser for Basque). The shallow syntactic tagging and phrase tagging are explained in sections 4 and 5 respectively. Finally, in section 6 we succinctly explain the tag system chosen for the dependency-based syntactic analysis and how the treebank is being manually tagged.

In figure 1, we can see a sketch of the different phases in the construction of EPEC, contrasting the manual tasks (right column) with the computer-based ones (left column) as well as the dependencies between them.

2. The tagged corpus

2.1 Compilation of the corpus

EPEC is a 50,000-word sample collection of written standard Basque. It is a strategic resource for the processing of Basque and it has already been used for the development and improvement of some tools. Half of this collection was obtained from the Statistical Corpus of 20th Century Basque (http://www.euskaracorpusa.net). The other half was extracted from Euskaldunon Egunkaria (http://www.egunero.info), the only daily newspaper written entirely in standard Basque.

The Statistical Corpus of 20th Century Basque is a reference corpus of Basque including 4,658,036 word-forms. It was created by UZEI (http://www.uzei.com), a non-profit organisation devoted to making Basque language suitable for any specialised field. The corpus constructed was based on an exhaustive inventory of Basque publications of the 20th century, from which a random sampling was extracted. This corpus has become an invaluable linguistic reference for written Basque of this period. It was classified taking into account the following criteria: the publications were divided into 4 periods (1900-1939, 1940-1968, 1969-1990, 1991-1999), 6 different dialects (Biscayan, Guipuzcoan, Souletin, Labourdin-Navarrese, Standard Basque, and non-classified), and 14 genres (literary prose, poetry, theatre, administration, newspapers...). Each book or article also contained information about the author (or authors) and its title. A subcorpus of about 25,000 word-forms was extracted from this corpus in order to build EPEC. Texts
written in standard Basque, corresponding to the last period (1991-1999) and belonging to both literary and non-literary prose, were chosen for this purpose. The second part of EPEC consists of several articles extracted from the Euskaldunon Egunkaria written in the second half of 1999 and in 2000. The
articles were chosen so that they covered an assorted range of topics (economics, culture, entertainment, international, local, opinion, politics, sports...).

2.2 Design of the tagset

Choosing an appropriate tagset is a crucial task since the usefulness of further applications depend on it. The main problem we found while defining the tagset for Basque was the absence of an exhaustive one for automatic use. Moreover, Basque printed dictionaries also lacked systematisation of categories.

For the morphosyntactic treatment of Basque texts, the tag system we developed is a four level system, ranging from the simplest part-of-speech tagging scheme up to the full morphosyntactic information. In the first level, 20 general categories are included for lexical items (noun, adjective, verb, pronoun, conjunction...).

In the second one, each category tag is further refined by subcategory tags. For instance, the category 'pronoun' has 6 subcategories: common, emphatic, interrogative, indefinite, reflexive and reciprocal.

The third level includes some basic morphosyntactic information such as declension case, number, etc. This morphological information is carried by the dependent morphemes attached to the stem.

The full output of the morphosyntactic analysis constitutes the fourth level of tagging. The only difference with the previous level is that, here, all the morphological information is considered along with the tags for syntactic functions. Morphology and syntax are closely related in Basque, so most syntactic functions are provided by the database, along with the inflexional morphemes. For instance, the ergative case in Basque marks the subject in a clause (with transitive verbs) the absolutive case may either indicate the subject or the predicative (with intransitive verbs), or the direct object. The specification at this level is very detailed and constitutes the input for the morphosyntactic disambiguation process as well as for syntactic and other types of language processing.

In addition to these four levels, further tags are added to mark verb chains, noun phrases, and postpositional phrases (see sections 4.3.1. and 4.3.2.).

Nowadays, we are involved in the syntactic tagging of the corpus, following the Dependency Structure-based Scheme (see section 5). About 31 syntactic tags are being used for this purpose.

3. Morphosyntactic tagging of the corpus

A morphological analyser of words is an indispensable basic tool when defining a general framework for the automatic processing of agglutinative languages like Basque (Aduriz et al., 1998). However, previous to the completion of the morphological analyser MORFEUS, the design of the tagset had to accomplished (see section 2.2) and a lexical database developed.
3.1 EDBL, a lexical database for Basque

EDBL (Aldezabal et al., 2001) is a general-purpose lexical database used in Basque text-processing tools. This large repository of lexical knowledge is the basis in many different NLP tasks, and provides lexical information for several language tools including, obviously, the morphological analyser. At present, it consists of nearly 80,000 entries divided into (i) dictionary entries (the same you can find in any conventional dictionary), (ii) inflected verb forms, and (iii) dependent morphemes, all of them with their respective morphological information.

3.2 MORFEUS, automatic morphological analyser

MORFEUS is a robust morphological analyser for Basque. It is a basic tool for current and future work on NLP. The analyser is based on the two-level formalism proposed by Koskenniemi (1983), which has had widespread acceptance due mostly to its general applicability, declarativeness of rules and clear separation between linguistic knowledge and program.

The architecture of the analyser was defined using three main modules:

1. The standard analyser that uses a general lexicon and a user’s lexicon. This module is able to analyse and generate standard language word-forms. In our applications for Basque, we defined more than 130 patterns of morphotactics and two rule systems in cascade, the first one for long-distance dependencies among morphemes and the second one for morphophonological changes. These elements are compiled together in the standard transducer.

2. The analysis and normalization of linguistic variants (dialectal uses and competence errors). Due to non-standard or dialectal uses of the language and competence errors, the standard morphology is not enough to offer good results when analysing real text corpora. This problem becomes critical in languages like Basque in which standardisation is in process and dialectal forms are still of widespread use. For this process the standard transducer is extended with new lexical entries and phonological rules producing the enhanced transducer.

3. The guesser or analyser of words without lemmas in the lexicons. In this case, the standard transducer is simplified removing the lexical entries and allowing the analysis of any string. Therefore, the standard transducer is substituted by a general transducer to describe any combination of characters.

The morphological analyser gives as a result all the possible analyses of each token in the text.

3.3 Manual disambiguation of the corpus

The manual disambiguation of the corpus was performed on the output of MORFEUS. Thus, the whole corpus was morphosyntactically analysed giving to
each word-form every possible analysis, without taking into account the context in which it appeared. Once each word-form in the corpus was morphosintactically analysed, we carried out the manual disambiguation process. Two linguists marked independently the correct syntactic tag to each word in the corpus, applying the “double blind” method described in Voutilainen & Järvinen (1995). In case no right tag had been automatically assigned, they typed it themselves. Both linguists’ answers were compared and, when differences occurred, they agreed a single tag.

This manually disambiguated corpus was used both to improve a Constraint Grammar disambiguator and to develop a stochastic tagger. After the corpus was manually disambiguated, we started to make up a grammar of constraint rules that would automatically select the correct syntactic tags in any real corpus. For this purpose, we chose the Constraint Grammar (CG) formalism (Karlsson et al., 1995; Tapanainen & Voutilainen, 1994), which was designed with the aim of being a language-independent and robust tool to disambiguate and analyse unrestricted texts. The CG grammar statements are close to real text sentences and directly address some crucial parsing problems, especially ambiguity. The role of the CG system is to apply a set of linguistic constraints that discard as many alternatives as possible, leaving at the end as fully disambiguated sentences as possible.

Each rule produced for this grammar was checked on the manually disambiguated corpus so as to test its goodness and improve it iteratively whenever necessary. Moreover, in the cases in which the analyser didn’t assign any correct analysis to a word-form in the corpus, the linguists contributed greatly to the improvement of the lexical database and the analyser itself.

Besides, we also developed a stochastic tagger. Statistical methods need little effort and obtain very good results (Church, 1998; Cutting et al., 1992), at least when applied to English. In our case, we selected the TATOO tagger based on Hidden Markov Models (Armstrong et al., 1995). TATOO was designed to be applied to the output of a morphological analyser and the tagset can be easily switched without changing the input text.

However, being Basque an agglutinative and free-order language, the stochastic tagger turned out to be much less accurate than for English when trained directly on the output of the morphological analyser. So, we performed a supervised training on the output of the CG grammar. Since the CG disambiguator leaves a relatively low ambiguity rate, the results of TATOO were much better. Currently, we apply a combination of the CG disambiguator with the stochastic tagger and we get good results (Ezeiza 2003). The CG disambiguator is first applied and then the remaining ambiguities are solved using the results of TATOO.

4. Shallow syntax tagging

After disambiguating the morphological tags in the corpus, the next step was to assign the corresponding syntactic tag to each word-form. Syntactic function tags
follow the philosophy of the Constraint Grammar (CG) formalism in the
sense that they are based on a functionally labelled dependency syntax. By adopting
the CG formalism, we express the syntactic functions of words and the
interdependencies that exist among them rather than deep structural relations. So,
the syntactic tags at this level refer to shallow syntactic functions, i.e. they may
provide information about the surface structure of verb chains, noun phrases, or
postpositional phrases. Therefore, this results in a shallow parsing of the corpus.
As we mentioned before, most syntactic functions are added to the word-forms
together with inflectional morphemes. Morphological suffixes and syntactic
functions are closely related in Basque and both are included in the database.
Thus, the output of the morphological analyser displays most of these shallow
syntactic tags.
However, some other syntactic tags that are not inherited from the database are
added to the analysis through CG mapping rules. These functions are mostly
attached to parts of speech, and they are generally assigned to word-forms
provided that they comply with some given contextual conditions.
Mainly, the syntactic function tags are divided into three groups: main functions
(subject, object, indirect object…), modifiers (indicating the direction relative to
their head), and verb functions (used to detect verb chains). This distinction of the
syntactic functions is essential for the tagging of the different kind of phrases (see
section 5).
The ambiguity rate related to the shallow syntactic tagging is over 22%., that is,
for each 100 word-forms 22 are assigned more than one syntactic tag.

4.1 Manual disambiguation and applications

Once each word-form in the corpus was given at least one syntactic tag, we
carried out the manual disambiguation process again. The method was similar to
the one used for the morphological disambiguation in the previous step. Two
linguists marked independently the correct syntactic tag to each word in the
corpus or, in case no right tag had been automatically assigned, they typed it
themselves. Then, both linguists agreed a single tag when differences occurred.
After the corpus was manually disambiguated, we started to make up a grammar
of constraint rules that would automatically select the correct syntactic tags in
any real corpus. Each rule produced was checked on the manually disambiguated
corpus so as to test its goodness and improve it if necessary.

---

1 The concept of dependency-syntax has a long tradition in grammatical
analyses since the Greco-Roman era. More recently, within the application
of formalisms to syntactic theory, among others we find Tésniere (1959),
Hays (1964) and Mel’cuk (1988), the ones who have recovered
dependency-syntax in theoretical terms.

2 This ambiguity was estimated taking into account the syntactic functions
of a subset of 200 common words.
5. Tagging phrases

At this stage we have the corpus manually tagged with surface syntactic tags following the CG syntax. No phrase units are marked yet, although based on this representation, the identification of various kinds of phrase units, such as verb chains, noun phrases, and postpositional phrases is reasonably straightforward.

5.1 Tags for verb chains

In order to detect verb chains, we use the verb function tags (@+FAUXVERB, @-FAUXVERB, @+FMAINVERB, @-FMAINVERB3...) and some particles (the negative particle, modal particles…). Based on these elements we are able to detect not only continuous verb chains but also dispersed ones.

So as to mark up continuous verb chains, the following tags are attached using again CG mapping rules:

- %VCH: this tag is attached to a verb chain composed of a single element.
- %VCHI: this is attached to the initial element of a complex verb chain.
- %VCHF: this is attached to the final element of a complex verb chain.

The tags used to mark-up the dispersed verb chains are:

- %NCVCHI: this tag is attached to the initial element of a non-continuous verb chain.
- %NCVCHC: this tag is attached to the second element of a non-continuous verb chain.
- %NCVCHF: this tag is attached to the final element of a non-continuous verb chain.

5.2 Tags for noun phrases and postpositional phrases

Our assumption is that any word having a modifier function tag is linked to some word with a main syntactic function tag. Moreover, a word with a main syntactic function tag can, by itself, constitute a phrase unit. Taking into account this assumption, we establish three tags to mark up this kind of phrase units (noun phrases or postpositional phrases):

- %PHR: this tag is attached to words with main syntactic function tags that constitute a phrase unit by themselves.
- %PHRI: this tag is attached to the initial element of a phrase unit.
- %PHRF: this tag is attached to the final element of a phrase unit.

In order to attach one of these tags to each word-form, we have simultaneously developed two subgrammars containing CG mapping rules. The first subgrammar is aimed at delimiting verb chains whereas the second one marks noun and postpositional phrases.

---

3 Finite auxiliary verb, non-finite auxiliary, finite main verb, non-finite main verb...
5.3 Manual tagging and applications

At present, a linguist is checking the tags that the first set of mapping rules marked up in the corpus. Whenever necessary, she adds, removes, or changes the tags automatically assigned. Once this work is finished, the first set of mapping rules developed will be tested on the corpus and the results will be used to improve the rules iteratively as well as to develop new ones.

6. Treebank

The next logical stage in the completion of the corpus is deep syntax tagging, in order to build a treebank (Aduriz et al., 2002). Although tagging manually a treebank is an expensive and time-consuming task, it is also an essential step for the development of syntactic tools and applications for Basque. A group of linguists in our research group is currently involved in this arduous job. After considering a number of diverse choices – Skut et al. (1997), Oflazer (1999) – we decided to follow a dependency-based procedure, for it was, in our opinion, the one that could best deal with the free word order displayed by Basque syntax. The dependency-based analysis describes the relations existing between components (i.e., word-forms). This way, for each sentence in the corpus we explicitly determine the syntactic dependencies between the heads and the dependants.

In order to define the syntactic tagging system, we adopted the framework presented in Carroll et al. (1998, 1999). By following this line of work, we developed a coding-system based on hierarchies of grammatical relations (both for lexical and empty elements, such as pro) (see figure 2).

As it can be seen in figure 2, the hierarchy distinguishes between several general levels, which are further specified in subsequent levels. Thus, for instance, in the general level we find structurally case-marked complements, thematic roles (arg_mod), modifiers, auxiliaries and conjunctions. In turn, structurally case-marked complements, for example, are divided into noun phrases and clauses. Each continuous gradation achieves further specification by taking into account their grammatical function (e.g. ncsbj, ncobj, and nczobj).

Next, we present an example showing some of the grammatical relations specified in the hierarchy:

- **ncsubj** (Case, Head, Head of NP, the Case-marked element within NP, subj)
- **ncobj** (Case, Head, Head of NP, the Case-marked element within NP, obj)
- **nczobj** (Case, Head, Head of NP, the Case-marked element within NP, ind.obj)

---

4 In this research line, our group is taking part in the project entitled “The IXA group, tools for an automatic treatment of Basque: creating a database composed of syntactic-semantic trees” (See ‘acknowledgments’)

5 nczobj would be equivalent to the English nciobj (non-clausal indirect object).
These are examples of structurally case-marked complements when complements are nc (non-clausal, Noun Phrases, henceforth NP), as, for instance, in the sentence *Aitak haurrari sagarra eman dio* ‘Father has given an apple to the child’ (lit. ‘Father to-child apple given has’):

- **ncsubj** (erg, eman, aitak, aitak, subj)
- **nczobj** (dat, eman, haurrari, haurrari, ind.obj)
- **ncobj** (abs, eman, sagarra, sagarra, obj)

This description is extremely important, since it determines the number and type of tags needed for each relation (number of slots, the characteristics of each one, etc.). This formalisation will be very useful for future treatments, for example, to get all this information in XML format (see section 7).

Tagging the corpus manually has enabled us to find solutions to problems that emerge in the analysing process, such as discontinuous constituents, coordination, or comparative clauses. Moreover, it is not unusual that similar phenomena are treated as distinct by the different linguists tagging the corpus. In these cases, the group of linguists tries to agree a single analysis that will be considered as correct thereafter.

Consequently, as the tagging process goes on and we find new solutions to arising problems, it will get gradually improving in accuracy, robustness, and speed. Besides, we are currently developing a computational tool aimed to make the manual tagging easier and faster.

All this work is being carried out within a project that aims at constructing treebanks for Catalan, Spanish, and Basque (Civit & Martí, 2002).

### 6.1 Applications

When the manual tagging of the corpus is finished, we plan to develop a tool based on linguistic knowledge that will be able to parse real corpora automatically. Like in the previous steps of manual tagging, each rule produced...
for the parser will be tested on the manually tagged corpus in order to assess it
goodness and improve it accordingly.
In the future, we also plan to apply machine learning methods to the corpus, in
order to carry out an automatic tagging.

7. Representation of the Corpus using XML

During the last three years a great effort has been done in our research group
(Artola et al., 2002) to integrate the NLP tools for Basque described in previous
sections. Due to the complexity of the information to be exchanged among the
tools, Feature Structures (FSs) are used to represent it. Feature structures are
coded following the TEI’s DTD for FSs, and Feature Structure Definition
descriptions (FSD) have been thoroughly defined. The documents used as input
and output of the different tools, contain TEI-P4-conformant feature structures
(FS) coded in XML. The use of XML for encoding the I/O streams flowing
between programs forces us to describe the mark-up formally, and provides
software to check that these mark-up hold invariantly in an annotated corpus.
We could deeply analyse the framework for linguistic knowledge representation
and integration developed in our group, but as it is not the goal of this paper, we
will only show the output of the tools of the analysis chain (figure 3).
Different representations of the sentence Noizean behin itsaso aldetik Donostiako
Ondarreta hondartzara enbata iristen da (Once in a while, a storm arrives from
high seas to the Donostia’s beach of Ondarreta) coded in XML are shown in
figure 3.

8. Future work

The lexical information gathered in the lexical database (EDBL), which is the
basis for several NLP tools in our research group, is constantly being renewed.
New entries from diverse sources are periodically added to the database.
Moreover, new tools such as multiword units, named entities, or postposition
recognisers have been developed. These changes must be reflected in the corpus,
so we must review it regularly. Therefore, in the near future, we intend to update
EPEC with all these information. This will be done semiautomatically, so that
only the new information needs to be reviewed.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the University of the Basque Country
(9/UPV00141.226-14601/2002), the Ministry of Industry of the Basque
Government (project XUXENG, OD02UN52), the Interministerial Commission
for Science and Technology of the Spanish Government (FIT-150500-2002-244),
and the European Community (MEANING project, IST-2001-34460).
9. References


Figure 3: Output of the different tools coded in XML.